



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,060	08/26/2005	Atsushi Utsubo	OKUDP0125US	6150
51921 7590 08/14/2008 MARK D. SARALINO (MEI) RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 19TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115				
EXAMINER				
WON, BUMSUK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2889				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/14/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/547,060		Applicant(s) UTSUBO ET AL.	
	Examiner BUMSUK WON		Art Unit 2889	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BUMSUK WON. (3) _____.

(2) MS. HEIDI BOEHLEFELD. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dakin (US 2003/0102808).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claims 1-4 and 7 (specifically the independent claim 1), the applicant stated that the rejection should have been obviousness rejection rather than anticipation rejection because the disclosed range of halide material is related to generic halide material. The examiner disagreed because the previous paragraph of the paragraph which discloses such range refers to specific groups of halide materials.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bumsuk Won/
Examiner, Art Unit 2889

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required